

## Chapter 5

# Studies of drug users in prison

### Methods and definitions

National routine information on drug use and patterns of use among prisoners is rare. Most of the data available in the EU come from ad hoc studies carried out at local level among samples of prisoners that vary considerably in terms of size. This makes extrapolation within a country very difficult, and the prisons examined are often not representative of the whole prison system. The lack of repeated surveys impedes trend analysis in most of the EU countries.

The data presented here come from a range of sources, which are often not comparable in terms of the methods used. Variations across countries and across surveys make comparisons between and within countries difficult and are related to issues such as: sampling strategy; sample size; geographical coverage; population selection (convicted/remanded, male/female, etc.); measure of drug use (self-report, medical assessment, etc.); drug use and prevalence definitions (lifetime or last year or month prevalence; frequency measures, etc.).

## Overview of the data

Listed below are the tables and the supplementary downloadable tables in the bulletin dealing with drug users in prisons, along with a brief overview. Please note that the supplementary tables are available only on the statistical bulletin website (http://stats05.emcdda.eu.int).

This section reports on various studies of prison inmates in different EU Member States and Norway over the past decade. Results shown in the studies cover a range of drugs including opiates, cocaine, cannabis and poly-drug use, and prevalence is estimated for a range of inmate sub-populations: injectors, males, females, youth. A further, more complete table is available in the supplementary tables to the bulletin, indexed below.

The first table of the section (Table DUP-0) gives the source bibliographic references for the studies reported in Tables DUP-1 to DUP-5.

#### **Summary points**

- Lifetime prevalence of drug use among prisoners is reported in most EU studies to be over 50%. It varies widely, however, from 22% to 86% between prison populations, types of detention centres and countries.
   Cannabis is the most frequently reported illicit drug.
- The prevalence of regular drug use or dependence prior to imprisonment ranges from 8 % to 73 %. Lifetime prevalence of injecting drug use among prisoners is generally reported to be within a 15 to 50 % range.
- Studies available show that between 8% and 60% of inmates report having used drugs while in prison, and 10 to 42% report regular drug use in prison. In addition, between 0.2% and 34% of inmates report having injected drugs while in prison.

## **Data tables**

	page
Table DUP-0. Prevalence of drug use among prisoners: sources and bibliographic references	5.2
Table DUP-1. Prevalence of lifetime use of various drugs among prisoners	5.5
Table DUP-2. Prevalence of lifetime injecting drug use among prisoners	5.7
Table DUP-3. Prevalence of drug use within prison among prisoners	5.10
Table DUP-4. Prevalence of injecting drug use within prison among prisoners	5.13

Table DUP-0. Prevalence of drug use among prisoners: sources and bibliographic references

Country	Ref.	Source
Austria	1	Country report Austria 1999 for the European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis in prisons, European centre for social welfare policy and research, Vienna.
	2	Pont J., Auswertung des Fragebogens zu GZ 52201/2-V.4/1996. Bundesministerium für Justiz, 1996 [taken from: European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison, Annual report to the EC, Marseille/Bonn:
	3	ORS/WIAD, 1998].  Drogenfreie Zone im Strafvollzug. Unofficial Report on one year experience with the drug free zone in the Hirtenberg
D. I	,	prison.
Belgium	1	Hariga F., Todts S., Doulou M., Muys M. (2004) Toxicomanie en prison: monitoring des risques sanitaires: une enquête dans 10 prisons belges, SPF Justice Bruxelles.
	2	De Maere W. (Free Clinic), Hariga F. (Modus Vivendi), Bartholeyns F. (Université Libre Bruxelles), Vandeverken M. (Université Catholique Louvain). Druggberuik in de gevangenisomgeving. Ontwikkeling van een epidemiologisch onderzoeksinstrusment. Onderzoek uitgevoerd in opdracht van DWTC/SSTC.
	3	Weilandt C. and Rotily M., European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison: Annual report to the EC Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998.
	4	Todts S., Fonck K., Colebunders R., Vercauteren G., Driesen K., Uydebreouck M., Vranckx R., Van Mol F., Tuberculosis,
Czech Republic	1	HIV, Hepatitis B and risk behaviour in a Belgian prison, Arch Public Health, 55, 1997, pp 87-98.  Generální ředitelství Vězeňské služby ČR (2003) Přehled údajů o užívání drog ve věznicích (Summary of data prepared for the purposes of the Annual Report), Prague: Generální ředitelství vězeňské služby ČR.
		Zábranský, T., Radimecký, J., Mravčík, V., Gajdošíková, H., Petroš, O., Korčišová, B., Miovský, M., Vopravil, J., Csémy, L. and Kuda, A.a.N. (2002) Výroční zpráva o stavu ve věcech drog v ČR v r. 2001 (http://www.drogy-info.cz/filemanager/download/9/ar 2001_Cz cesky.pdf), Prague: Úřad vlády ČR.
	2	Generální ředitelství Vězeňské služby ČR (2003) Ročenka Vězeňské služby ČR 2002 (Annual Report of the Prison Service of the CR), Prague.
Denmark	1	Kramp P. et Al (2003) Rusmiddelundersogelsen, Misbrug blandt Kriminalforsorgens.
	2	Directorate of the Prison and Probation Service, 2002.
Finland	1	STAKES and Ministry of Justice (Department of Prison Administration).
	2	Ministry of Justice.
	3	National Public Health Institute.
France	1	Mouquet M-C., Dumont M., Bonnevie M-C., La santé à l'entrée en prison: un cumul des facteurs de risque, Études et résultats n°4, Direction de la recherche, des études, de l'évaluation et de la statistique; ministère de l'emploi et de la solidarité, janvier 1999.
	2	Rotily M. and Delorme C., L'usage de drogues en milieu carcéral, Drogues et toxicomanies: indicateurs et tendances, OFDT, 1999.
	3	Weilandt C. and Rotily M., European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison: Annual report to the EC, Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998.
Germany	1	Tielking, K., Becker, S., Stöver, H. (2003). Entwicklung gesundheitsfördernder Angebote im Justizvollzug. (2003). Oldenburg: Bibliotheks- und Informationssystem der Universität Oldenburg.
	2	Weilandt C. and Rotily M., European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison: Annual report to the EC, Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998.
	3	Kern, Johannes (1997): Zum Ausmaß des Drogenmißbrauchs in den Justizvollzugsanstalten und den Möglichkeiten seiner Eindämmung. Zeitschrift für Strafvollzug und Straffälligenhilfe, 1997 (2), 90-92.
Greece	1	Giatroi Choris Sunora. 2001. Katagrafi apotelesmaton diereunisis kai protasi programmatos sti Dikastiki Fulaki Koridallou. Athina: Médecins Sans Frontières.
	2	Fotiadou, M., Livaditis, M., Manou, I., Kaniotou, E., Samakouri, M., Tzavaras, N., Xenitidis, K. (2004). Self-reported Substance Misuse in Greek Male Prisoners. European Addiction Research, 10, 56-60.
	3	Aristoteleio Panepistimio Thessalonikis 2000. Diereunisi anagon kai methodon epaggelmatikis katartisis anilikon paravaton kai anilikon se kindino "Orestis" - Leonardo Da Vinci. Thessaloniki (in Greek).
	4	Koulierakis G., Gnardelis C., Agrafiotis D. and Power K. (2000) HIV risk behaviour correlates among injecting drug users in Greek prisons. Addictions, 1995, (8), 1207-1216.
	5	Malliori M., Sypsa V. Psichogiou M., Touloumi G., Skoutelis A., Tassopoulos N., Hanzakis A. and Stefanis C. A survey of bloodborne viruses and associate risk behaviours in Greek prisons. Addiction (1998), 93(2), 243-245.
	6	Malliori M., Greece, in European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison: Annual report to the EC, Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998, pp 114-118.
Hungary	1	Elekes Zs. And Paksi B., Exploration of risk groups of drug users in imprisoned population, IM.Bv.Op., Research Library for Penalty Authorities 1997/4 (112).
Ireland	1	Hannon F., Kelleher C., Friel S. (2000) General Healthcare Study of the Irish Prisoner Population, Dublin: Stationery Office.
	2	Long J., Allwright S., Barry J., Reaper-Reynolds S., Thornton L., Bradley F. (2000) Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C and HIV in Irish Prisoners, Part II: Prevalence and risk in committal prisoners 1999. Government Publications: Dublin.
	3	Allwright, S., Barry, J., Bradley, F., Long, J. and Thornton, L., Hepatitis B, hepatitis C and HIV in Irish prisoners: Prevalence and risk, Dublin: The Stationery Office, 1999.
	4	Montjoy Prison Visiting Committee, 1997.
		continued on peyt page

Table DUP-0 – continued from previous page

Country	Ref.	Source
	5	O'Mahony P. (1997) Mountjoy Prisoners: A sociological and criminological profile, Department of Justice, Government Publications: Dublin, June 1997.
Italy	1	Relazione Annual al Parlamento sullo Stato delle Tossicodipendenze in Italia 2003. Ministero del Lavoro e delle Politiche Sociali. Rome 2004.
	2	National survey on drug use and HIV infection amongst prison admissions in 2002, Ministry of Justice, Department fo Prison Administration.
	3	National survey on drug use and HIV infection amongst prison admissions in 2001, Ministry of Justice, Department fo Prison Administration.
	4	National survey on drug users and HIV+ among prisoners at 31.12.02, Ministry of Justice.
	5	National survey on drug users and HIV+ among prisoners at 31.12.01, Ministry of Justice.
	6	National survey on drug users and HIV+ among prisoners at 31.12.00, Ministry of Justice.
	7	National survey on drug users and HIV+ among prisoners at 31.12.99, Ministry of Justice.
	8	Weilandt C. and Rotily M., European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison: Annual report to the EC Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998.
Latvia	1	Snikere S., Trapencieris M., Vanaga S. (2003) 'Survey of Prison Inmates', in Drug abuse Prevalence in Latvia: Population Survey Report, Riga, 71-102.
Lithuania	1	Vladas Kasperunas, Prisons Department under the Ministry of Justice (data not published).
Luxembourg	1	Dr Schlink J., Etude épidémiologique des infections par le VIH et l'hépatite virale C dans les prisons luxembourgeoises CPL, Luxembourg, 2000.
Netherlands	1	Vogelvang B.O., Van Burik A., Van der Knaap L.M., Wartna B.S.J. (2003) Prevalentie van criminogene factoren bij mannelijke gedetineerden in Nederland, Den Haag: Adviesbureau Van Montfoort/WODC.
	2	Van Emmerik, J.L., Brouwers, M. De Terbeschikkingstelling in Maat en Getal; Een beschrijving van de tbs-populatie ir de periode 1995-2000, Den Haag: Ministerie van Justitie, 2001.
	3	Schoemaker C & Zessen G van. Psychische stoornissen bij gedetineerden; Een verkennend onderzoek in Penitentiair Complex Scheveningen. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Trimbos Institute, 1997.
	4	Koeter M.W.J. & Luhrman G.C. Verslavingsproblematiek bij justitiabele drugverslaafden, Amsterdam: The Amsterdam Institute for Addiction Research (AIAR), 1998.
	5	Bulten, B.H. Gevangen tussen straf en zorg [Caught between punishment and care]. (Doctoral thesis.), Amsterdam: Vrije Universiteit, 1998.
Portugal	1 2	Torres A. (coord.) et al., Trajectorias e consumos de drogas nas prisoes: um diagnostico, Lisbon, CIES/ISCTE. Weilandt C. and Rotily M., European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison: Annual report to the EC Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998.
Slovenia	1	Prison Administration annual report.
Spain	1	Evolución terapeutica de previa del drogodependiente que ingresa en 1994. Delegación del Gobierno para el Plan Nacional sobre Drogas en colaboración con la Dirreción General de Instituciones Penitenciarias. Estudio transversal de junio de 1998 sobre sanidad penitenciaria. Dirección General de Instituciones Penitenciarias.
	2	Estudio transversal sobre sanidad penifenciaria.
	3	Informe de la Comparecencia del Director, General de Instituciones Penitenciarias en el Parlamento, 1999.
	4	Miranda MJ., Barberet R., Canteras A., Romero E., Analisis de la eficacia y adecuation de la politica penitenciaria a las necesidades y demandas de las mujeres presas, 1998.
	5	Rios JC., Cabrera P., Mil voces Presas, Universidad Pontificia de Comillas, 1998.
	6	Weilandt C. and Rotily M., European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison: Annual report to the EC Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998.
	7	Delegacion del Gobierno para el Plan Nacional sobre Drogas/Direccion General de Instituciones Penitenciarias, Evolucion Terapeutica previa del drogodependiente que ingresa en prison, 1994.
Sweden	1	Kriminalvårdsstyrelsen (2004). Kriminalvårdens redovisning om drogsituationen. Kriminalvårdsstyrelsen, Norrköping (to be published).
	2	Weilandt C. and Rotily M., European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison: Annual report to the EC Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998.
United Kingdom	1	The differential substance misuse treatment needs of women, ethnic minorities and young offenders in prison: Survey of women (2001).
	2	The Criminality Survey, Home Office.
	3	Weild A.R., Gill O.N., Bennett D., Livingstone S.J.M., Parry J.V. and Curran L., Prevalence of HIV, hepatitis B, and hepatitis C antibodies in prisoners in England and Wales: a national survey, Communicable Disease and Public
	4	Health, vol 3, NO 2, June 2000. Singleton N., Meltzer H., Gatward R. Psychiatric morbidity among prisoners, ONS, Department of Health, London, 1997.
	_	

Singleton N., Farrel, M. & Meltzer H., Substance misuse among prisoners in England and Wales, London: ONS, 1999. Bellis MA, Weild AR, Beeching NJ, Mutton KJ and Syed Q. Prevalence of HIV and injecting drug use in men entering Liverpool prison. BMJ 1997; 315: 30-31 [taken from: European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in

prison, Annual report to the EC, Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998].

## **Table DUP-0** – continued from previous page

Country	Ref.	Source
	7	Prevalence of HIV in England and Wales in 1995, Annual report of the Unlinked Anonymous Seroprevalence Monitoring Programme in England and Wales, Department of Health, Public Health Laboratory Service, Institute of Child Health, London, 1996 [taken from: European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison, Annual report to the EC, Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998].
	8	Bridgwood A. and Malbon G. Survey of the physical health of prisoners [taken from: European network on HIV/AIDS and hepatitis prevention in prison, Annual report to the EC, Marseille/Bonn: ORS/WIAD, 1998].
	9	Scottish Prison Service Research Bulletin (2004) Seventh Prison Survey.
	10	SPS Research Bulletin 2003 and other forthcoming publications.
	11	Bird S.M. and Rotily M. Inside methodologies: for counting blood-borne viruses and injector-inmates' behavioural risks - results from European prisons, The Howard Journal, Vol 41, No. 2, May 2002.
Norway	1	Odegard E., Men and women behind the right walls? Drug, alcohol and mental problems among Norwegian inmates (manuscript).
	2	Stortingsmelding no. 16 (1996-1997) Narkotikapolitikken.

Table DUP-1. Prevalence of lifetime use of various drugs among prisoners

Country	Year	Source/ ref. (1)	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restriction on base population)	%
Belgium	2003	1	People reporting having used illicit drugs (prior/within	any illicit drug	51
			prison).	cannabis	47
			Comment: survey in a random sample of 10 prisons	cocaine	36
			(over a total of 38) among on remand, convicted and	heroin	26
			social defence (psychiatric cases) prisoners ( $n = 886$ ).	amphetamines	33
				ecstasy	31
Belgium	1993	4	People reporting having used illicit drugs.  Comment: survey in one prison amongst volontary		42
			prisoners entering prison ( $n = 1627$ ).		
Greece	2000	1	People reporting lifetime drug use prior to imprisonment.	any illicit drug	48
			Comment: survey in 1 prison amongst on remand and convicted prisoners ( $n = 136$ )		
Greece	1998	3	Adolescents reporting lifetime drug use prior to	cannabis (adolescents)	46
			imprisonment.	cocaine (adolescents)	18
			Comment: survey in 2 prisons for adolescents, both on	heroin (adolescents)	19
			remand and convicted, enrolled in vocational training (n = 100).	amphetamines (adolescents) ecstasy (adolescents)	4 7
				other drugs (adolescents)	11
Preece	1995	5	Lifetime drug use prior to imprisonment (based on self-	cannabis	22
			reports and serum tests).	cocaine	6
			Comment: survey in 2 prisons amongst convicted voluntary prisoners (n = 544).	heroin	66
pain	1998	3	Women reporting lifetime drug use (alcohol included). Comment: survey in 18 prisons (n = 356).	any illicit drug - alcohol included (females)	70
eland	1996	5	Men reporting lifetime drug use.	any illicit drug (males)	86
			Comment: survey in one male prison (one-fifth	cannabis (males)	86
			systematic sample) ( $n = 108$ ).	cocaine (males)	56
				heroin (males)	66
				amphetamines (males)	61
				ecstasy (males)	60
atvia	2003	1	People reporting lifetime drug use prior to imprisonment.	cannabis	51
			Comment: national survey in 11 (out of 15) prisons with at least 100 convicted persons ( $n = 2867$ ).		
			·	cocaine	15
				heroin	24
				amphetamines	22
lungary	1997	1	Lifetime drug use prior to imprisonment (based on	any illicit drug (adult males)	22
			self-reports and serum tests).	cannabis (adult males)	11
			Comment: national survey in a sample of all prisons	cocaine (adult males)	5
			amongst adult male prisoners of Hungarian citizenship	heroin (adult males)	3
			(n = 951).	amphetamines (adult males)	7
				other drugs (adult males)	1
Netherlands	2003	1	Men reporting lifetime drug use prior to imprisonment. Comment: survey in 8 prisons among male detainees	any illicit drug (males)	79
		_	(n = 355).		
Vetherlands	1989-	5	Young male offenders reporting lifetime drug use prior	any illicit drug (young males)	58
	1990		to imprisonment (DSM-III).  Comment: survey among young male convicted		
			detainees in one youth detention centre, aged 18-24 years (n = 200).		
Austria	1994	3	People reporting having used illicit drugs.  Comment: survey in one prison amongst Narcotic Drug		72
			Act convicted people ( $n = 307$ ).		
Portugal	2001	1	People reporting lifetime drug use prior to	any illicit drug	61
			imprisonment.	cannabis	54
			Comment: natiowide survey (47 prisons) in a random	cocaine	44
			sample of on remand and convicted prisoners ( $n =$	heroin	44
			2057).	amphetamines	18
				ecstasy	16
				continued on ne	ovt n

Table DUP-1 - continued from previous page

Country	Year	Source/ ref. (1)	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restriction on base population)	%
Finland	2001	1	People reporting having used illicit drugs.  Comment: national survey among a sample of voluntary HIV tested convicted prisoners ( $n = 825$ ).	any illicit drug opiates amphetamines	58 5 29
Finland	1995	3	People reporting having used illicit drugs  Comment: survey in 4 prisons	·	31
United Kingdom (England & Wales)	2001	1	Women reporting lifetime drug use.  Comment: national survey of female prisoners on remand and convicted in 10 prisons (n = 301).	any illicit drug (females) cannabis (females) cocaine/crack (females) heroin/opiates (females) amphetamines (females) ecstasy (females) other tranquilizers (females)	84 78 57 60 58 47
United Kingdom (England & Wales)(3)	1997	4	People reporting lifetime drug use prior to imprisonment.  Comment: nationwide survey in all 131 prisons (n = 3142).	any illicit drugs cannabis cocaine heroin amphetamines crack	69-85 65-82 30-42 34-52 40-53 28-44
Norway	2002	1	People reporting lifetime drug use prior to imprisonment. Comment: national survey in all 52 prisons among on remand and convicted prisoners ( $n=1074$ ).	any illicit drug cannabis cocaine heroin amphetamines ecstasy	70 65 51 37 59 45

#### Notes

- (1) For sources and bibliographic references, the numbering refers to Table DUP-0 (page 5.2).
- (2) Caution should be applied when considering that a survey is said to be 'national' as this refers to its intended geographical coverage, but does not mean it is necessarily representative of the national situation, which would depend on any sampling procedures adopted. Note that the size of the prison population surveyed is not available for most of the studies displayed in this table, and sample sizes reflect different proportions of the population in different countries.
- (3) Results are provided for 4 different sub-groups of population: male remanded, male sentenced, female remanded, female sentenced. Women and men on remand represent a small proportion of the prison population, and thus these groups were over-sampled. Ranges reported here represent the minimum and maximum values obtained across the 4 sub-groups.

#### Sources

Table DUP-2. Prevalence of lifetime injecting drug use among prisoners

Country	Year	Source/ ref. (1)	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restriction on base population)	%
Belgium	2003		People reporting injecting drug use (prior/within	any illicit drug	15
			prison).		
			Comment: survey in a random sample of 10 prisons		
			(over a total of 38) amongst on remand, convicted and social defence (psychiatric cases) prisoners ( $n = 886$ ).		
	1997	3	People reporting lifetime injecting drug use prior to		22
		-	imprisonment.		
			Comment: survey in one prison amongst on remand		
			and convicted prisoners ( $n = 115$ ).		
	1993	4	People reporting having ever injected drugs.		15
			Comment: survey in one prison amongst volontary		
Germany	1997	2	prisoners entering prison ( $n = 1627$ ). People reporting injecting drug use (lifetime) prior to		33
Germany	1777	2	imprisonment.		33
			Comment: survey in one prison among on remand		
			and convicted prisoners (n = 437).		
Greece	1996	4	People reporting lifetime injecting drug use.		34
			Comment: survey in 10 prisons (n = 861).		
	1995	5	Injecting drug use prior to imprisonment (based on		69
			self-reports and serum tests).		
			Comment: survey in 2 prisons amongst convicted voluntary prisoners (n = 544).		
Spain	1997	6	People reporting injecting drug use (lifetime) prior to		47
			imprisonment.		
			Comment: survey in one prison among on remand		
			and convicted prisoners ( $n = 101$ ).		
	1995	6	Injecting drug users.		31
_	1000	0	Comment: survey in one prison (n = 1183).		10
France	1998	2	People reporting lifetime injecting drug use prior to imprisonment.		12
			Comment: survey in 4 prisons (n = 1212).		
	1996	2	People reporting lifetime injecting drug use.		23
			Comment: survey in one prison (n = 574).		
	1997	3	People reporting injecting drug use (lifetime) prior to		14
			imprisonment.		
			Comment: survey in 3 prisons among on remand and		
Ireland	1999	2	convicted prisoners (n = 960).	amentilli aitaluum	29
ireiana	1777	2	People reporting injecting drug use (injector status known).	any illicit drug	29
			Comment: survey in 5 of the 7 Irish committal prisons		
			(n = 593).		
	1998	3	People reporting injecting drug use (injector status	any illicit drug	43
			known).		
			Comment: survey in 9 prisons: all the 5 high risk		
			prisons and a random sample of the medium risk prisons (n = 1205).		
	1996	5	Men reporting ever engaged in injecting drug use.	males	56
	1770	J	Comment: survey in one male prison (one-fifth	maios	00
			systematic sample) ( $n = 108$ ).		
Italy	1997	8	People reporting injecting drug use (lifetime) prior to		30
			imprisonment.		
			Comment: survey in 3 prisons among on remand and		
Latvia	2003	1	convicted prisoners (n = 678).  People reporting lifetime injecting drug use prior to		20
LUIVIU	2003	ı	imprisonment.		20
			Comment: national survey in 11 (out of 15) prisons		
			with at least 100 convicted persons ( $n = 2867$ ).		
			,	continued of	n next paa

**Table DUP-2** – continued from previous page

Country	Year	Source/ ref. (1)	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restriction on base population)	%
Hungary	1997	1	People reporting injecting drug use prior to imprisonment.  Comment: national survey in a sample of all prisons amongst adult male prisoners of Hungarian citizenship (n = 951).	any illicit drug (adult males)	1
Austria	1999	1	Injecting drug use prior to imprisonment. Comment: national survey in a selection of representative prisons: 4 for male adults ( $n = 143$ ), 2 for female adults ( $n = 69$ ) and 5 for male youth ( $n = 51$ ); convicted and on remand.	(male adults) (female adults) (male youth)	26 32 9
	1996	2	Intravenous drug users. Comment: estimated by experts.		15
Portugal	2001	1	People reporting lifetime injecting drug use prior to imprisonment.  Comment: natiowide survey (47 prisons) in a random sample of on remand and convicted prisoners (n = 2057).	any illicit drug	27
	1997	2	People reporting injecting drug use (lifetime) prior to imprisonment.  Comment: survey in 3 prisons among on remand and convicted prisoners (n = 535).		52
Finland	2000	2	People reporting injecting drug use (ever used).  Comment: national survey among a sample of	poly-drug users heroin/opiates	39 5 56
Sweden	1997	2	voluntary HIV tested convicted prisoners ( $n = 1612$ ). People reporting injecting drug use (lifetime) prior to imprisonment. Comment: survey in 9 prisons among on remand and convicted prisoners ( $n = 305$ ).	amphetamines	65
United Kingdom (England & Wales)	2001	1	Women reporting having ever injected drugs prior to imprisonment.  Comment: national survey of female prisoners on remand and convicted in 10 prisons (n = 301).	any illicit drug (females) cocaine/crack (females) heroin/opiates (females) amphetamines (females) other tranquilizers (females)	38 5 35 7 1
	1997-1998	3	People reporting having ever injected drugs (inside or outside prison).  Comment: survey in 8 prisons: 6 adult male prisons (n = 2769), 1 female prison (n = 407) and 1 male young (under 21 years) offenders prison (n = 714).	(adult male) (female) (young male)	24 29 4
	1997(3)	5	People reporting having ever injected drugs.  Comment: nationwide survey in all 131 prisons (n = 3142).		23-40
	1996	6	Men entering prison reporting a history of injecting drug use.  Comment: survey in one prison.	(males)	29
	1995	7	Men entering prison reporting a history of injecting drug use.	(males)	15
United Kingdom (Scotland)	2003	10	Comment: survey in 3 prisons.  People reporting having ever injected drugs during the current imprisonment.  Comment: national survey in 16 prisons among all prisoners available at the time of the survey (n = 4741).	any illicit drug	11
	1991-1996	11	Men reporting a history of injecting drug use.  Comment: survey in 6 male prisons (n = 2286).	(males)	32
	1991-1996	11	Women reporting a history of injecting drug use.  Comment: survey in one female prison (n = 132).	(females)	46
	1991-1996	11	Young offenders reporting a history of injecting drug use.  Comment: survey in 2 young offenders institutions (n = 562).	(young offenders)	18

#### Notes:

- (1) For sources and bibliographic references, the numbering refers to Table DUP-0 (page 5.2).
- (2) Caution should be applied when considering that a survey is said to be 'national' as this refers to its intended geographical coverage, but does not mean it is necessarily representative of the national situation, which would depend on any sampling procedures adopted. Note that the size of the prison population surveyed is not available for most of the studies displayed in this table, and sample sizes reflect different proportions of the population in different countries.
- (3) Results are provided for 4 different sub-groups of population: male remanded, male sentenced, female remanded, female sentenced. Women and men on remand represent a small proportion of the prison population, and thus these groups were over-sampled. Ranges reported here represent the minimum and maximum values obtained across the 4 sub-groups.

#### Sources:

Country	Year	Source/ ref. (1)	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restrict on base population	
Belgium	2003	1	People reporting having used illicit drugs during the last incarceration.  Comment: survey in a random sample of 10 prisons (over a total of 38) among on remand, convicted and social defence (psychiatric cases) prisoners (n = 886).	any illicit drug	33
	1999	2	People reporting regular drug use during previous and current imprisonments.  Comment: survey in two prisons (1 male prison + 1 woman section in another prison) amongst voluntary prisoners (n = 246).	any illicit drug cannabis cocaine heroin amphetamines ecstasy LSD	42 37 9 13 8 5
	1997	3	People reporting lifetime drug use in prison. Comment: survey in one prison amongst on remand and convicted prisoners ( $n = 115$ ).	cannabis cocaine amphetamines ecstasy	38 15 4 8
Germany	1996	3	Drug users in prison (based on information given by key persons).  Comment: survey in one prison based on reports of pre-selected key prisoners (n = 16), doctor, pastor(s).	,	60
Greece	2000	1	People reporting lifetime drug use while in prison.  Comment: survey in 1 prison amongst on remand and convicted prisoners (n = 136).	any illicit drug	46
	1995	5	Lifetime drug use in prison (based on self-reports and serum tests).  Comment: survey in 2 prisons amongst convicted voluntary prisoners (n = 544).	any illicit drugs cannabis cocaine heroin	54 5 0.4 39
France	1997	3	People reporting drug use within the last year while in prison.  Comment: survey in 3 prisons among on remand and convicted prisoners (n = 960).	cannabis cocaine amphetamines ecstasy	24 7 2 3
Ireland	1996	5	Men reporting heroin use while in prison (current sentence).  Comment: survey in one male prison (one-fifth systematic sample) $(n = 108)$ .	heroin (males)	42
	1996	5	Men reporting regular (once a week) heroin use while in prison (current sentence).  Comment: survey in one male prison (one-fifth systematic sample) (n = 108).	heroin (males)	36
Latvia	2003	1	People reporting lifetime drug use in prison.  Comment: national survey in 11 (out of 15) prisons with at least 100 convicted persons (n = 2867).	cannabis cocaine heroin amphetamines ecstasy	28 4 12 12 7
	2003	1	People reporting drug use within the last year in prison. Comment: national survey in 11 (out of 15) prisons with at least 100 convicted persons ( $n = 2867$ ).	cannabis cocaine heroin amphetamines ecstasy	15 2 5 8 4
	2003	1	People reporting drug use within the last month in prison.  Comment: national survey in 11 (out of 15) prisons with at least 100 convicted persons ( $n = 2867$ ).	cannabis cocaine heroin amphetamines ecstasy	6 1 2 2
Lituania	2003	1	People reporting drug use within the last year in prison. Comment: national survey in all 14 prisons among on remand and convicted prisoners at $31.12.2003$ (n = $8063$ ).	any illicit drug cannabis heroin cocaine stimulants several drugs	13 0.1 9.5 0.03 1 3 continued on next page

**Table DUP-3** – continued from previous page

Country	Year	Source/ ref. (1)	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restriction on base population)	%
Hungary	1997	1	People reporting drug use at any time during	any illicit drug (adult males)	8
			imprisonment.	cannabis (adult males)	2
			Comment: national survey in a sample of all	cocaine (adult males)	1
			prisons amongst adult male prisoners of Hungarian	heroin (adult males)	0.5
			citizenship (n = 951).	ecstasy (adult males)	2
				amphetamines (adult males)	2
D	0001	1		other drugs (adult males)	3
Portugal	2001	1	People reporting drug use within the last year in prison.	any illicit drug	52
			Comment: natiowide survey (47 prisons) in a random	cannabis	44
			sample of on remand and convicted prisoners (n =	cocaine heroin	26 33
			2057).	amphetamines	10
				ecstasy	10
	2001	1	People reporting drug use within the last month in	any illicit drug	30
	2001	•	prison.	cannabis	24
			Comment: natiowide survey (47 prisons) in a random	cocaine	7
			sample of on remand and convicted prisoners (n =	heroin	15.5
			2057).	amphetamines	1.5
			•	ecstasy	1
	2001	1	People reporting regular (everyday) drug use within	any illicit drug	10
			the last month in prison.	cannabis	5.5
			Comment: natiowide survey (47 prisons) in a random	cocaine	2
			sample of on remand and convicted prisoners ( $n = 2057$ ).	heroin	5
Finland	2001	1	People reporting illicit drug use while in prison.		16.5
			Comment: national survey among a sample of		
			voluntary HIV tested convicted prisoners ( $n = 825$ ).		
United Kingdom	2001	1	Women reporting drug use in the current period in	any illicit drug (females)	51
(England & Wales)			prison.	cannabis (females)	23
			Comment: national survey among all prisoners (n =	cocaine/crack (females)	9
			301).	heroin/opiates (females)	40
				amphetamines (females)	1
				ecstasy (females) other tranquilizers (females)	2 18
	2001	1	Women reporting regular drug use (weekly or more	any illicit drug (females)	27
	2001	1	frequent) in prison.	cannabis (females)	4
			Comment: national survey of female prisoners on	cocaine/crack (females)	3
			remand and convicted in 10 prisons ( $n = 301$ ).	heroin/opiates (females)	19
			remand and convicted in 10 phoens (ii out).	other tranquilizers (females)	9
	1997 (3)	4	People reporting drug use during the last time in	cannabis	19-46
	( )		prison.		
			Comment: nationwide survey in all 131 prisons (n =	cocaine	1-4
			3142).	heroin	12-20
				amphetamines	0-4
				crack	2-8
United Kingdom	2004	9	People reporting drug use during the current	any illicit drug	35
(Scotland)			imprisonment.	cannabis	27
			Comment: nationwide survey among all prisoners (n	cocaine	5
			= 4792).	heroin	24
				amphetamines	2
	2003	10	Poople reporting drug use during the surrent	ecstasy any illicit drug	4 32
	2003	10	People reporting drug use during the current imprisonment.	any illicit arug cannabis	32 24
			Comment: national survey in 16 prisons among all	cannabis cocaine/crack	6
			prisoners available at the time of the survey (n =	heroin/methadone/other opiates	21
			4741).	amphetamines	5
Norway	2002	1	People reporting drug use during the current imprisonment.	any illicit drug	26-38
			Comment: national survey in all 52 prisons among on		
			remand and convicted prisoners ( $n = 1074$ ).		
			remana ana convicieu prisoners (II – 10/4).	continued on ne	ext nage

### Table DUP-3 – continued from previous page

Country	Year	Source/ ref. (1)	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restriction on base population)	%
	1997- 1996	2	Inmates who used drugs once or several times while serving their sentence.  Comment: estimated by prison authorities on the basis of previous studies in prison and reports submitted by prison and visitation officials.		40-60

#### Notes:

- (1) For sources and bibliographic references, the numbering refers to Table DUP-0 (page 5.2).
- (2) Caution should be applied when considering that a survey is said to be 'national' as this refers to its intended geographical coverage, but does not mean it is necessarily representative of the national situation, which would depend on any sampling procedures adopted. Note that the size of the prison population surveyed is not available for most of the studies displayed in this table, and sample sizes reflect different proportions of the population in different countries.
- (3) Results are provided for 4 different sub-groups of population: male remanded, male sentenced, female remanded, female sentenced. Women and men on remand represent a small proportion of the prison population, and thus these groups were over-sampled. Ranges reported here represent the minimum and maximum values obtained across the 4 sub-groups.

### Sources:

Table DUP-4. Prevalence of injecting drug use within prison among prisoners

Country	Source/ ref. (1)	Year	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restriction on base population)	% IDU
Belgium	1	2003	People reporting injecting drug use during the last incarceration.  Comment: survey in a random sample of 10 prisons (over a total of 38) among on remand, convicted and social defence (psychiatric cases) prisoners (n = 886).	any illicit drug	2
	2	1999	People reporting injecting drug use in prison from a daily basis to a few times per week or per month.  Comment: survey in two prisons (1 male prison + 1 woman section in another prison) amongst voluntary prisoners (n = 246).		2
Greece	4	1996	People reporting injecting drug use while in prison.  Comment: survey in 10 prisons (n = 861).		20
	5	1995	Injecting drug use in prison (based on self-reports and serum tests).  Comment: survey in 2 prisons amongst convicted voluntary prisoners (n = 544).		28
Ireland	5	1996	Men reporting injecting heroin use while in prison (current sentence).  Comment: survey in one male prison (one-fifth systematic sample) (n = 108).	heroin (males)	34
Latvia	1	2003	People reporting lifetime injecting drug use in prison Comment: national survey in 11 (out of 15) prisons with at least 100 convicted persons (n = 2867)		10
Luxembourg	1	1998	Regular intravenous drug use in prison (based on self-reports and cross-checking in personal files).  Comment: nationwide cross-sectional survey in 2 state prisons amongst the total population of prisoners (n = 362).		28
	1	1998	First intravenous drug use in prison (based on self-reports and cross-checking in personal files).  Comment: nationwide cross-sectional survey in 2 state prisons amongst the total population of prisoners (n =		9
Hungary	1	1997	362). People reporting injecting drug use while in prison. Comment: national survey in a sample of all prisons amongst adult male prisoners of Hungarian citizenship (n = 951).	any illicit drug (adult males)	0.2
Austria	1	1999	Injecting drug use within prison.  Comment: national survey in a selection of representative prisons: 4 for male adults (n = 143), 2 for female adults (n = 69) and 5 for male youth (n = 51); convicted and on remand.	(male adults) (female adults) (male youth)	15 6 8
Portugal	1	2001	People reporting lifetime injecting drug use in prison.  Comment: nationwide survey (47 prisons) in a random sample of on remand and convicted prisoners (n = 2057).	any illicit drug	11
United Kingdom (England & Wales)	1	2001	Women reporting having ever injected drugs during this term of imprisonment.  Comment: national survey of female prisoners on	(females)	3
	3	1997-1998	remand and convicted in 10 prisons (n = 301).  People reporting having ever injected drugs inside prison.  Comment: survey in 8 prisons: 6 adult male prisons (n	(adult males) (females) (young males)	7 7 1
United Kingdom (Scotland)	9	2004	= 2745), 1 female prison (n = 400) and 1 male young (under 21 years) offenders prison (n = 714).  People reporting drug use during the current imprisonment.  Comment: nationwide survey among all prisoners (n =	any illicit drug	5
(Scolland)			·	continued on n	evt na

Table DUP-4 – continued from previous page

Country	Source/ ref. (1)	Year	Definition and methodological comments (2)	Drug used (and if any: restriction on base population)	% IDU
	10	2003	People reporting having ever injected drugs during the current imprisonment.	any illicit drug	11
			Comment: national survey in 16 prisons among all prisoners available at the time of the survey ( $n = 4741$ ).		
	11	1991-1996	Men reporting having ever injected in prison.  Comment: survey in 6 male prisons (n = 2286).	(males)	18
	11	1991-1996	Women reporting having ever injected in prison.  Comment: survey in one female prison (n = 132).	(females)	26
	11	1991-1996	Young offenders reporting having ever injected in prison.  Comment: survey in 2 young offenders institutions (n = 562).	(young offenders)	5

#### Notes:

#### Sources

<sup>(1)</sup> For sources and bibliographic references, the numbering refers to Table DUP-0 (page 5.2).

<sup>(2)</sup> Caution should be applied when considering that a survey is said to be 'national' as this refers to its intended geographical coverage, but does not mean it is necessarily representative of the national situation, which would depend on any sampling procedures adopted. Note that the size of the prison population surveyed is not available for most of the studies displayed in this table, and sample sizes reflect different proportions of the population in different countries.

## List of supplementary material

## **Tables**

The supplementary table listed here is available on the statistical bulletin website (http://stats05.emcdda.eu.int).

Table DUP-5. Overall table showing the prevalence of drug use among prisoners in EU countries and Norway (%)